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ABSTRACT
This paper presents main problems concerning e-commerce development in Poland and in other countries of Eastern Europe, in particular, links between a consumer’s trust and the cultural background in the region. The first results of a pilot study being a part of the author’s research project are presented in the paper. As they show, factors affecting credibility of e-shops are strictly related to usability, reliability, functionality and efficiency of the websites – the crucial issues of user interface quality models.
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INTRODUCTION
In the last decade, dynamic development of the Internet has been strongly stimulating its use in business areas. However, business activity in the Internet still encounters many obstacles.

For example, the results of a research conducted by InterCommerce Corporation [3] showed that in the United States (being the country of origin of the most Internet users) the e-commerce stood at 0.8% of the total retail trade in 1999 and increased to 1.2% until 2002 [5]. Besides, according to Taylor Nelson Sofres (TNS) report [4], the percentage of Internet users that buy on-line grew from 27% in 2000 to 33% in 2001, but in the next year the same value stood only at 32%.

On one hand, in the United States we observe 50%-growth of trade activity in the Internet (in years 1999-2002), but on the other hand, that activity is relatively marginal.

One of the reasons of e-business slowdown in the beginning of 21st century was a slump on the Internet market. It was partly a consequence of the forecasts from the late nineties that overestimated general interest in e-commerce. The forecasts were prepared by well-known and recognised consulting corporations (e.g. Arthur Andersen or Merrill Lynch), and therefore, were treated as very probable [6].

However, one of the major barriers in the development of electronic business all over the world seems to be consumers’ lack of trust [1, 4] that results from:
- lack of direct contact between consumers and vendors or bank assistants,
- fear of transaction security violation,
- fear of personal data security violation,
- a shortage of information regarding a second party and its reputation.

These issues have crucial impact on retail websites credibility perceived by consumers, and affect economical efficiency growth of the Internet suppliers.

PROBLEMS OF E-COMMERCE DEVELOPMENT IN POLAND
In Poland, business activity in the Internet seems to be much weaker than in the United States and other developed countries. For example, in 2002 the percentage of Internet user that decided to shop on-line was more than three times less than in the United States in the same year. Similar situation takes place in other East European countries [4].

We can distinguish some additional barriers that result in lower popularity of e-commerce in Poland, caused mainly by the previous political and economic system:
- poorly developed IT infrastructure and, consequently, low percentage of Internet users and limited access to net services,
- lack of appropriate legislative regulations that on one hand facilitate IT infrastructure development and, on the other hand, improve security of electronic transactions,
- low level of users’ knowledge of security technologies and mechanisms applied in Internet transactions.

A final solution to these problems requires time and depends on financial abilities and legislative efficiency of the state. Therefore, this paper focuses rather on building Internet users’ trust, as a first step towards solving the problems of e-commerce development in Poland, and, possibly, in other East European countries.

PREVIOUS RESEARCH PROJECTS
Factors affecting Internet users’ trust and credibility of websites are a subject of many research projects carried out in recent years (e.g. Egger [1], BJ Fogg et al [2]). One of the most remarkable researches was conducted by BJ Fogg’s team. They found out that a website credibility is a multidimensional function of such groups (‘dimensions’) of factors, as:
- real-world feeling,
- ease of use,
• expertise,
• tailoring,
• amateurism,
• trustworthiness,
• commercial implications.

Noteworthy is the explanation that ‘the trustworthiness dimension of credibility captures the perceived goodness or morality of the source’ and ‘is defined by the terms well-intentioned, truthful, unbiased, and so on’. This notice is very important for describing meanings and ranges of both concepts: ‘credibility’ and ‘trustworthiness’ that in many languages (such as Polish) have almost the same or exactly the same meaning.¹

The BJ Fogg’s team conducted a research among Internet users from the USA and Finland. Both countries are treated as developed and with high level of social trust², which significantly impacts trusty behaviours in general. Therefore, there is no evidence that these factors influence a website credibility in the same way in transition economies (where social trust level is usually rather low).

Moreover, the team was interested in factors impacting credibility of all the types of websites, not only retail ones. For those reasons, a new investigation focused on Polish users and retail websites was needed.

MODELLING CREDIBILITY OF E-SHOPS

The main assumption of the author’s research was that the factors affecting a website credibility could be divided into the following groups:

• informational factors – concerning informational content of a website;
• references – from media and other customers, from real environment and the Internet, as well as certificates from trusty institutions or societies;
• cultural factors – referring to customer’s social origin, mentality, customs, inclinations and general attitude to trust;
• ergonomic factors – concerning website user interface and its compatibility with Internet access devices;
• usability factors – concerning the website and its design.

Figure 1. presents a model that illustrates how the foregoing groups of factors affect consumer’s trust to e-shops and individually perceived website credibility.

It is significant that references reach a customer from both environments. However, they can be transferred between both these separate ‘worlds’. References can also be shared in a formal, as well as in an informal way (e.g. from media or directly from other customers).

On the other hand, cultural factors (in the meaning described above) occur only in reality, and they cannot be transferred directly into virtual environment. However, relevant knowledge about cultural factors can be used for designing a website interface by matching the language, appearance and way of interaction with a customer’s expectations and background.

In the author’s view, a suitable combination of cultural factors and informational content should partly compensate the negative influence of the low trust level. For this it will be one of the issues to be validated in the author’s current research.

THE RESEARCH SCHEDULE AND ITS FIRST RESULTS

The research outcomes should answer two crucial questions:

• Which particular factors affect e-shop credibility and how do they do so?
• How much is the e-shop credibility important for a customer’s decision process?

The separate investigations are planned for each question. Recently the first part of the research has been run. This

¹ In the author’s opinion, e-shop credibility perceived subjectively by a customer is the reflection of his/her trust to the e-shop.
² Simplifying, in sociology, social trust means general tendency to trust other people.
part proceeds in five stages. While this article is being written the third stage finishes. Thus, some results can be published.

The 1st Stage: Identifying Credibility Factors
In the first stage, three groups of students (of 14, 12 and 22 persons) were asked to surf across three different retail web services and choose the most credible one. To obtain maximum varied and numerous set of factors each group had a different task and different set of websites to review.

Then the students had to point out the factors that had influenced a website credibility (in both ways: negatively and positively). They also had to assess intensity of each factor (in a 3-level scale).

The students identified 361 factors that were in turn grouped into 12 categories. The most frequently pointed factors belong to the following criteria: ‘product description’, ‘ergonomics and usability’, ‘information about supplier’ and ‘web service functionality’.

Surprisingly, the least frequently factors mentioned by respondents concern transaction and data security (!). None of the respondents pointed out cultural factors; however, in the author’s opinion these factors could not be omitted (the results of the next stage partly proved that) and were taken into consideration in further stages.

On the other hand, the respondents pointed out the factors that could not be numbered among five earlier assumed groups, but exemplify very important quality issues such as functionality and reliability.

In most cases the students mentioned ergonomic and usability factors together; therefore these two groups have been joined into one group of factors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main group of factors</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Number of factors / number of indications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Informational factors</td>
<td>information about supplier</td>
<td>40/147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>product description</td>
<td>67/222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>general information</td>
<td>29/67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>marketing issues</td>
<td>16/59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>placing orders</td>
<td>24/87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>information about delivery</td>
<td>25/67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>data and transaction security</td>
<td>6/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>References</td>
<td>references</td>
<td>15/109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ergonomic and usability factors</td>
<td>ergonomics and usability</td>
<td>57/172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality issues</td>
<td>technical quality (reliability)</td>
<td>25/85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>web service functionality</td>
<td>33/127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>overall impression</td>
<td>24/74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Credibility factors structure.

Table 1. presents a structure of credibility factors divided into groups and categories. The last column in the table contents number of factors and number of their indications in each category.

The students described pointed out factors using the informal language. Frequently different descriptions were used for the same item. The students often gave the example of the same factor both in positive and negative light (e.g. ‘complete product description’ as a factor positively influencing credibility, and ‘incomplete product description’ as a negative one). For those reasons a number of factors could be reduced more than three times.

The 2nd Stage: Designing and Validation of Paper Pilot Survey
Relying on the results from the 1st stage a paper pilot survey was designed. The survey included 114 statements corresponding with the earlier identified factors. There were also included five additional statements concerning cultural factors. The survey included also two open questions concerning factors, disregarded by the author, in respondent’s opinion, and general opinion about the survey.

25 students participated in that stage. The students had to make a standpoint about each statement using a 7-point Likert-type scale (from ‘+3’, representing a strongly strengthened credibility expression, through neutral point to ‘-3’ representing a strongly weakened credibility expression).

The outcomes showed that the number of statements was too large. It was easy to predict, however, that allowed indicating the statements that were ambiguous, incomprehensible or redundant. It was signalized by students and also resulted from data analysis, e.g. high dispersion of answers and/or answer’s character (positive/negative) which doesn’t correspond with the author’s intention.

The 3rd Stage: Designing and Validation of On-line Pilot Survey
The experience gained during the paper pilot survey enabled to design a new on-line one.

The number of statements was reduced to 58 and incomprehensible statements were redone. There was used the same 7-point Likert-type scale as in the paper survey.

The survey was also expanded with second part including questions of demographic data, which might correlate with respondents’ opinions. In this case, the survey was completely anonymous, and therefore collecting demographic data was indispensable.
The respondents were recruited by using a ‘snowball’ method: a link to the survey’s website was sent to familiar persons who were asked to forward the link to other persons, etc. In a one-month period (from June 20th to August 16th 2005) the site was visited 158 times, but only 73 questionnaires were completed.

In this sample of respondents:

- average age of respondents is between 31 and 35 (the Figure 2. shows the age structure of the sample),
- average education level is university with technical profile,
- average income declared by the respondents is 2-3 thousand zlotys per month (that is the average income in Poland),
- average total amount of Internet transactions is 5 in online auctions, and 4 in e-shops,
- 33 respondents use e-banking in full-service range and 31 only for paying fees,
- most of respondents declare moderate trust to Internet transactions.

In respondents’ opinion ‘information topicality’ and ‘data security’ have the most positive impact on e-shop credibility. Important are such factors as: ‘good and quick contact with e-shop personnel’, ‘varied paying methods’. Ergonomic and usability factors are also perceived as highly significant. This group of factors became the most numerous after statements’ number reduction. The highest assessed factors are ‘website clearability and readability’ and ‘ease of finding information within a website’.

Negatively perceived are such factors as: ‘personnel incompetence’, ‘lack of product price information’ and ‘false links on an e-shops website’.

For respondents unimportant seem ‘website design’ and ‘date of establishing e-shop’.

The group of respondents is too small to find clear correlations between data obtained from the first and the second parts of the survey, thus the presented outcomes could be treated only as estimated. However, the main goal of this stage was to validate the survey and to check whether the survey data collecting system used in the website worked properly. In the author’s opinion the results, which have been obtained so far, let suppose that the goal has been achieved entirely.

### CONCLUSION

The results obtained so far allow noticing that there are many similarities between credibility of a website and the quality of its user interface. The same factors – usability, functionality and reliability – influence the quality as well as the credibility.

Despite the differences between both concepts (e.g. informational content and references), one can suggest that methods used to measure and improve quality of websites may be useful for their credibility as well.

The outcomes of current research should give more details about factors – including the quality items – that crucially impact on e-shops credibility perceived by Internet users, particularly with reference to the Polish society.
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