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Contextual Determinants of Alcohol Consumption Changes and Preventive Alcohol Policies: A 12-Country European Study in Progress
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Beginning with France in the 1950s, alcohol consumption has decreased in Southern European countries with few or no preventive alcohol policy measures being implemented, while alcohol consumption has been increasing in Northern European countries where historically more restrictive alcohol control policies were in place, even though more recently they were loosened. At the same time, Central and Eastern Europe have shown an intermediate behavior. We propose that country-specific changes in alcohol consumption between 1960 and 2008 are explained by a combination of a number of factors: (1) preventive alcohol policies and (2) social, cultural, economic, and demographic determinants. This article describes the methodology of a research study designed to understand the complex interactions that have occurred throughout Europe over the past five decades. These include changes in alcohol consumption, drinking patterns and alcohol-related harm, and the actual determinants of such changes.
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INTRODUCTION

Drinking Trends in Europe Over the Last Five Decades

Europe is a complex, cultural, social, political, and economical entity. With regard to alcoholic beverages, Europe has a long history of diverse traditions and values concerning drinking culture, alcohol-consumption-related harms, and prevention policies. Europe is the heaviest drinking region in the world (Anderson & Baumberg, 2005; Heath, 1995; Heather, 2006; Olsson, Olafsdottir, & Room, 2002a; Österberg & Karlsson, 2002a; Plant & Plant, 2006; Rehm et al., 2003; Room, 2002a; Room & Mäkelä, 2000).

From the 1960s, alcohol consumption has undergone several changes in Europe. In the northern countries, there has been a general increase in consumption. The most evident being in Finland where in 1955 the per capita alcohol consumption was recorded as 1.97 liters while by 2005 the per capita figures had increased to 8.23 liters. There has been a decrease in consumption, particularly regarding wine, in the Mediterranean countries. For example, in Italy, the consumption of alcohol decreased from 13.07 liters of recorded alcohol per capita in 1970 to 6.9 liters in 2005. Central and Eastern Europe have also experienced some changes (Leifman, 2001; Productshap Voor Gedistilleerde Dranken, 2005). A partial convergence of drinking patterns occurred more recently, especially among adolescents (Currie et al., 2004; Hibell et al., 2009).

Alcohol consumption is one of the most important health determinants throughout Europe. There have been notable changes, as an example, in both the incidence and prevalence over the past five decades of alcohol-consumption-related liver diseases (Edwards et al., 1994). In the 1970s and earlier, this was particularly notable throughout the traditional North–South gradient, with Southern European countries manifesting a five to six times greater incidence of mortality from liver cirrhosis compared with Northern European countries (Ramstedt, 2002). These differences have narrowed over time, which may be associated with the convergence of alcohol consumption between the two regions.

Preventive Alcohol Policies in Europe Over the Last Five Decades: A Complex Approach

Preventive alcohol consumption policy, which is usually briefly defined as alcohol policy, addresses the consumption of alcoholic beverages and their related harm. Alcohol policy measures, which are planned by the national as well as local governments to control the supply and demand of alcoholic beverages, aim at minimizing alcohol-consumption-related problems and promoting social well-being among the general population. Such measures consist of different methods including price and tax policy, regulation and restriction of alcohol availability, accessibility and opportunities to drink, advertising regulations, education and information programs, control of public drinking and of drunk driving, and preventive actions aimed to mobilize communities (Bruun et al., 1975; Grant, 1985; Mäkelä, Room, Singel, Sulkunen, & Walsh, 1981; Österberg & Karlsson, 2002b; World Health Organization [WHO], 1992). Another group of policies that are directed to individual drinkers at risk includes brief intervention programs, treatment, and rehabilitation.

Generally, policy-makers and politicians have made policy changes without acknowledging or even taking into account research findings (Room, 2002a). Nonetheless, the effectiveness of alcohol consumption policies in controlling the consumption of alcoholic beverages and alcohol-consumption-related harm does have a well-established evidence base (Babor et al., 2003; Holder et al., 2000; Holmila, 1997; Norström, 2002; Pridemore & Snowden, 2009; Rehm et al., 2003; Wagenaar, 1986). Existing infrastructures within a country’s health sector are a crucial element in implementing preventive alcohol policy programs (König & Segura, 2011).

One problem that interferes with the development of policies and their effectiveness is the perceptions of the alcoholic beverages and the drinking patterns in each country and how well-integrated alcohol and its associated functions are within the culture. If alcohol consumption and misuse are seen primarily as a social and health problem, alcohol policies may be accepted as being useful. In countries where alcohol is more integrated into the culture, the same policies may get little attention or support (Room, 1999). Moreover, at least in the latter societies, government measures sometimes appear to be more of a popular and symbolic nature than as a response to the real needs of the population. Finally, the enforcement of measures and their interplay with informal control varies according to nations, cultures, and temporal periods (Österberg & Karlsson, 2002). The most consistent measures of the impact of alcohol policies remain as changes in alcohol consumption within and between different countries (Österberg & Karlsson, 2002b).

The effectiveness of policy measures appears to vary across countries, and at a first glance, it does not simply relate to the policy measures themselves. Sometimes reduction in consumption occurs without any alcohol consumption policy in place, or conversely increase in consumption occurs in spite of preventive measures.

Alcohol policy has an old Nordic history (Olsson et al., 2002). During the last century, the policies of Nordic countries were based on the restriction strategy of high taxes, age limits, regulations of advertising and licensing, and comprehensive state alcohol monopolies (Österberg & Karlsson, 2002a). Nonetheless, there has been an increase in consumption of alcoholic beverages in the Nordic countries, even though their policies are still the most comprehensive and strict in Europe (Österberg & Karlsson, 2002b). Major factors of this increase have often been identified in the weakening of the Nordic model, or in the relative dismantling of the traditional alcohol control system due to domestic pressures and the “single market ideology” of the European Union (Holder et al., 1998; Norström, 2002; Room, 2002b; Room, Babor, & Rehm, 2005; Sulkunen, Sutton, Tigerstedt, & Warpentius, 2000). Doubts have been raised by some authors regarding the relevance of entering the European
Union in the 1990s as the reason for the increase in consumption (Holder, 2000). For example, in Finland, the 1968 Alcohol Act brought changes that included an increase of the availability of medium strength beer, the lowering of the age limits for buying alcoholic beverages, and the opening of monopoly liquor stores in the previously dry countryside. During the following years, the per capita alcohol consumption increased by more than 200% (Figure 1). In 1994, a Finnish Alcohol Act was introduced that repealed the alcohol monopolies on production, import, export, and wholesale, but left the monopoly on off-premise retail sale of alcoholic beverages almost intact (Karlsson & Östenberg, 2002). This change may have had a smaller effect on Finnish alcohol consumption.

Nonetheless, the remarkable increase in consumption in Britain since the 1960s—from 5.86 liters of pure alcohol per capita in 1963 to 11.39 liters in 2006—could in part be ascribed to a more liberal attitude of the governments (Plant & Plant, 2006; WHO, 2009).

Among the Eastern European countries, alcohol consumption in Poland started to increase during the 1960s, reaching a peak by the end of the 1970s. This happened even though an “Act against alcoholism” (including many limitation for alcohol availability) had been approved by the parliament in 1958 (Österberg & Karlsson, 2002b). A reduction of alcohol consumption in Poland, which occurred during the 1980s, might have been induced by the preventive measures implemented during that decade. More recent increases in consumption may be attributable to the new trend toward a market economy and liberalization that started in the 1990s (Moskalewicz & Simpura, 2000).

Among the Southern European countries, formal policies are a recent introduction, compared with Northern Europe. They have been only partially or even hardly enforced, reflecting the weak position of their governments on this issue (Norström, 2002). Nonetheless, starting from the 1950s in France, and from the 1970s in countries like Italy and Spain, alcohol consumption has remarkably decreased despite the fact that the first preventive policy measures were introduced in these countries only in the late 1980s, at most supporting the already existing descending trends. In Italy, the first post-World War II alcohol policy act was a law approved by the parliament in August 1988, introducing limits for drinking and driving (Allamani et al., 2002). This made it illegal to drive with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of 0.8 grams/liter or more (Figure 2).

Initially, the decreasing trend of alcohol consumption in the Mediterranean countries was not easily understood by researchers; expressions like “impossible dream” (Room, 1992) and “Mediterranean Mysteries” (Simpura, 1998) became a sort of explanatory image of South European drinking trends. Two studies, one about drinking in France in the 1960s–1970s (Sulkunen, 1989), and the other covering the drinking trends in Italy from 1970s to 2000s (Allamani & Prina, 2007; Cipriani, 2007; Cipriani & Tusini, 2007; Tusini, 2007), tried to shed some light on this. The authors concluded that change determinants such as urbanization, industrialization, the increased income in the 1970s, changes in the role of women, and increased health awareness in the 1980s–1990s for Italy were relevant factors. They appeared to have been more relevant to the reduction of alcohol (mainly wine) consumption than the preventive alcohol consumption policies.

Other research focused on additional factors that can affect drinking amount and pattern, even if not specifically intended to contribute to a health prevention policy such as market forces and economic interests of industries (Gual & Colom, 1997), consumerism (Österberg & Karlsson, 2002b), globalization of drinking practices (Hupkens, Knibbe, & Drop, 1993; Knibbe, Drop, & Hupkens, 1996), cross-border import of alcoholic beverages (Holder, 2009), immigration from non-European countries with different cultures and religions (Admunsen, Rossow, & Skurtveit, 2005; Allamani, Innocenti, Innocenti, Cipriani, & Voller, 2009; Michalak, Trocki, & Bond, 2007, income (Simpura, Karlsson,
In order to explore the above aspects, the authors have designed a project, which started in 2009. This article describes the aims and methods used in this project.

**THE STUDY**

The study focuses on the period 1960–2008 and is being conducted between 2009 and 2012. It includes 12 countries from Central, Eastern, Northern, Southern, and Western Europe, including Austria, Finland, France, Hungary, Italy, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, and the United Kingdom. These countries have different, or quite different, geographical, cultural, social, economical, and political aspects. As to the values and practices attributed to alcoholic beverages, they are grouped in Table 1, according to a geographical classification.

This geographical classification is also a cultural classification, because each country as well as each group of countries can be identified with a drinking culture. However, in each country, there may also be many drinking subcultures. Also, the distinction among country’s drinking cultures has been weakening over time, as it is shown in the previous sections. Nonetheless, the country/cultural equivalence has still the advantage of both retaining a relative clarity and not increasing the number of variables to be studied at the level of analysis.

### Aim

This study is based on the premise that the preventive alcohol consumption policies alone do not cause nor explain changes in alcohol consumption, drinking patterns, and alcohol-consumption-related harm. These changes can only be explained when other contextual factors such as social, cultural, economic, religious, demographic, and

---

1With the advent of artificial science and its theoretical underpinnings (chaos, complexity, and uncertainty theories), it is now posited that much of human behavior is complex, dynamic, multidimensional, level/phase structured, nonlinear, law-driven, and bounded (culture, time, place, age, gender, ethnicity, etc.). Alcohol consumption and policies designed to effect it would be such behaviors/processes. There are two important issues to consider and that are derived from this: (1) using linear models/tools to study nonlinear processes/phenomena can and does result in misleading conclusions and can therefore also result in inappropriate intervention; and (2) the concepts of prediction and control have different meanings and dimensions than they do in the more traditional linear “cause and effect” paradigms (Editor’s note).
“big events” factors are considered simultaneously. This broader perspective, incorporating the contextual determinants of changes, and their interrelationships, with regard to consumption, must be considered in order to identify the most effective and cost-effective consumption prevention policies aimed at reducing the consequent alcohol-consumption-related harm. These considerations would enable appropriate policies to be adopted for each country.

Therefore, this study aims to identify changes in the contextual factors that are most strongly correlated with changes in alcohol consumption, drinking patterns, and related harm between 1960 and 2008 throughout the 12 countries in Europe. Changes in alcohol prevention policies over the same time period in the same countries will also be considered.

Variables

Both dependent and independent variables are detailed as follows.

Dependent variables:

- alcohol consumption and drinking patterns,
- alcohol-consumption-related harm.

Independent variables:

- alcohol consumption policy measures, planned by governments to control consumption and drinking patterns, and alcohol-consumption-related harm;
- the unplanned determinants of consumption changes, i.e., a number of social, cultural, economic, and demographic factors that are not planned by governments and health authorities, but that may affect consumption, drinking patterns, and alcohol-consumption-related harm.

Alcohol Consumption and Drinking Patterns. Data available from 1960 to 2008 for each European country on per capita alcohol consumption in those aged 15 years and older are provided. When considering data across countries, comparison sources can be adopted from the WHO-EURO Health for All Database and the WHO Global Information System on Alcohol and Health (GISAH; Anderson & Baumberg, 2005; Rehm & Scafato, 2011).

The concept of drinking pattern encompasses many different characteristics. These include gender- and age-specific preferences for different recorded and unrecorded types of alcoholic beverages; the frequency of drinking, such as daily or weekends only, binge drinking, and the drinking context; with or without meals; with family, friends, or alone; and at home, in bars, pubs, or restaurants. Although some information is available within each country, there is a lack of studies that are comparable over time at the European level (Simpura et al., 2002), despite the attempts in WHO’s Global Burden of Disease study (Anderson & Baumberg, 2005). Nonetheless, the European Comparative Alcohol Study (ECAS) study (Norström, 2002) and Eurobarometer (Hupkens et al., 1993) are able to give information concerning a few European countries for a limited time period. There are few European studies that have investigated the levels of unrecorded alcohol consumption (Leifman, 2002).

Detrimental Alcohol-Consumption-Related Consequences. Mortality for liver cirrhosis is a traditional indicator of a chronic consequence of alcohol consumption (Edwards et al., 1994). The number of persons killed or harmed in road traffic injuries can be considered as an acute indicator of alcohol-consumption-related harm. Comparable sources for the European region are in the WHO’s Global Status Report on Alcohol and the WHO Global Burden of Disease Study (Rehm & Scafato, 2011).

Planned Determinants: Alcohol Policy Measures. Alcohol preventive policies such as legislation regarding alcohol, increasing taxes, or age limits, among others, are usually interrupted measures—in terms of a time series analysis defining a step function. Additionally, they tend to be introduced after a political or administrative decision or under pressure from communities or lobbyists. A recent WHO document reports the evidences for the effectiveness of different interventions meant to reduce alcohol-related harm (Anderson, 2009). However, there is a need to better understand how and when the impact of the intervention occurs.

A list of usual preventive alcohol measures and of major preventive programs at the national level was selected and operationalized by the authors of this article. Table 2 describes the eight main factors associated with policies together with the corresponding indicator and operationalization, as well as its relevant references. Consequently, data are collected for each factor. An indicator is either “common” for all the participating countries, or only available to a few countries and labeled “country level.” The latter will not be completely comparable

---

2 This relatively new term, introduced into the intervention literature, refers to major events such as mega disasters, natural as well as man-made, famine, conflict, genocide, disparities in health, epidemics, mass migrations, economic recessions, etc., which effect adaptation, functioning, and quality-of-life of individuals as well as systems. Existential threat, instability, and chaos are major dimensions and the loss of control over one’s life is experienced (Editor’s note).

3 Refer to Hills’s criteria for causation that were developed in order to help assist researchers and clinicians determine if risk factors were causes of a particular disease or outcomes or merely associated (Hill, 1965) (Editor’s note).
TABLE 2. Variables related to the determinants of planned alcohol consumption changes (preventive alcohol policies)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Operationalization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Price control (Anderson, 2009; Babor et al., 2003)</td>
<td>Taxes</td>
<td>(1) List of major changes of excise duty on beer, wine, spirit, by year (2) List of major changes of VAT taxes on beer, wine, spirit by year common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertising control (Anderson, 2009; Babor et al., 2003)</td>
<td>Advertising limits</td>
<td>List of major regulation and law changes over time, by year, relating to: TV, radio, newspapers, sport common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability (Anderson, 2009; Babor et al., 2003; Österberg &amp; Karlsson, 2002a)</td>
<td>Minimum age to buy alcohol Licensing rules for different types of selling places Trading hours</td>
<td>List of law changes over time, by year country level List of major licensing changes over time, by year country level List of major changes in specific regulations for on-licensed premises selling alcoholic beverages over time, by year country level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drink driving (Anderson, 2009; Babor et al., 2003)</td>
<td>BAC limit</td>
<td>List of BAC limit law changes over time, by year common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Prevention Plan (Babor et al., 2003)</td>
<td>Nationwide prevention plans</td>
<td>List of major nationwide prevention plan(s) and strategies over time, by year country level Qualitative description by country country level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enforcement (Österberg &amp; Karlsson, 2002b) Education (Anderson, 2009; Babor et al., 2003; Österberg &amp; Karlsson, 2002b)</td>
<td>Not enforcing laws/regulations Nationwide education programs</td>
<td>List of major nationwide educational campaigns, by year country level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community projects (Anderson, 2009; Babor et al., 2003)</td>
<td>Relevant community projects</td>
<td>List of major community project, by year country level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development of health system programs treating alcohol-consumption-related problems (Anderson, 2009; Babor et al., 2003)</td>
<td>Alcohol misuser treatment programs</td>
<td>List of major changes in alcohol treatment program/organization, by year country level</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Common indicators are available for all the countries; country-level indicators are only available for 1 or few countries.

Across all participating countries; therefore, at this level, each policy measure will be analyzed separately and will be part of a separate country report.

At a more comprehensive level, each country’s alcohol policies, even when composed of different factors, should be evaluated taking into account its overall impact. Östergren and Karlsson in the ECAS study have proposed a classification of European countries on the basis of the comprehensiveness and strictness of different alcohol consumption policies (Karlsson & Östberg, 2001; Östergren & Karlsson, 2002a), while other authors created an “Alcohol Policy Index” to gauge the strength of the alcohol control policies in 30 European and non-European countries (Brand, Saisana, Rynn, Pennoni, & Lowenfels, 2007).

Unplanned Determinants of Alcohol Consumption Changes. A number of meetings were organized from 2006 to 2009 between the participating countries in order to identify the contextual and unplanned determinants. Drawing from the existing literature, the authors of this article discussed several indicators of determinants of potential, unplanned, alcohol consumption changes (Admundsen et al., 2005; Allamani & Prina, 2007; Brand et al., 2007; Cipriani, 2007; Gruenewald, Remer, & Lipton, 2002; Gual & Colom, 1997; Holder, 2009; Hupkens et al., 1993; Karlsson & Östergren, 2001; Knibbe et al., 1996; Michalak et al., 2007; Munro, 2004; Östergren & Karlsson, 2002b; Sulkunen, 1989; Tusini, 2007; Tusini, 2007; Allamani et al., 2009). Sixteen main classes of unplanned demographic, economic, social, cultural, and health variables were identified, each with its indicator and operationalization and references (see Table 3). This gave a total of 37 unplanned indicators, which were thought to be able to catch the entire area of the unplanned determinants of consumption changes; they focused on health in one case, on culture in three cases, on social aspects in four cases, on economical aspects in 18 cases, and on demographic aspects in 11 cases. As previously discussed, an indicator may be supposed to be common to all countries, or be country specific if its data are available only for a few countries, or its definition has different meaning according to the countries (see Table 3). Some unplanned indicators, like an increase in the price of alcoholic beverages, could be considered from a public health perspective as an “unintended alcohol policy, even if they may also be considered as an intended market policy introduced, for example, by the alcohol industry with regard to some brand of alcoholic beverage.

Indicators were chosen to capture the determinants that could either increase or decrease alcohol consumption. The same indicator may have different effect on different countries. For example, urbanization was associated with a decrease in alcohol consumption in Italy, while in Finland, this resulted in an increase in consumption. The study takes into account this type of differences.

Countries may also differ in the lag period needed for a change, in any determinant, to realize its full impact on the consumption of alcohol and the related harm. The lag time between a variable determinant and its effect can be taken into account by means of standard time series models.
### TABLE 3. Variables related to the determinants of unplanned alcohol consumption changes (sociocultural, economic, and demographic)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Operationalization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Demographic issues (Cipriani &amp; Tusini, 2007)</strong></td>
<td>Rate of youngsters</td>
<td>Number of people aged 0–15, 16–30 years/100,000 total population, by gender common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rate of elderly people</td>
<td>Number of people aged 65 years and over/100,000 total population common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean age</td>
<td>Mean age by gender common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migration (Admunsen et al., 2005; Sulkunen, 1989)</td>
<td>Migration inside the country</td>
<td>Number of resident population in “small” (rural), “medium,” “large” (metropolitan) towns according to country definition /100,000 total population country level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Migration inflows (immigration from other countries)</td>
<td>Number of people obtaining legal permanent resident status by country of birth/100,000 total population country level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Migration outflows (emigration to another country)</td>
<td>Number of people defined as emigrants/100,000 total population country level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (Hupkens et al., 1993)</td>
<td>Educational level</td>
<td>Number of people who have completed tertiary education/100,000 total population by gender country level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment (Tusini, 2007)</td>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>Number of employed people/100,000 total population by gender country level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Employment by sector</td>
<td>Number of employed people by sector (rural, industrial, services)/100,000 total population by gender country level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (Simpura et al., 2002)</td>
<td>Income</td>
<td>Disposable income per household per year common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inequality Index</td>
<td>Gini coefficient (measure of inequality of income) common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes in family and organization (Leifman, 2002; Tusini, 2007)</td>
<td>Rate of employment</td>
<td>Number of people employed/total labor force per 100, by gender common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age of women at first childbirth</td>
<td>Mean age of women at their first childbirth common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rate of single parent households</td>
<td>Number of single parent households by gender, in those who are 50 years old or less/100,000 total population common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rate of single person households</td>
<td>Number of single person households by gender, in those who are 50 years old or less/100,000 total population common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women having children</td>
<td>Number of women under 50 having children/total women under 50 × 100 common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market strategy (Gual &amp; Colom, 1997; Tusini, 2007)</td>
<td>Prices of different alcoholic beverages</td>
<td>Average prices per year of beer, wine, and spirits to be separately indexed. Year 1960 is taken as 1 common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Expenditure on alcohol</td>
<td>Per year household expenditure on alcohol beverages/total household expenditure country level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Price of food items</td>
<td>Average price per year of mineral water and soft drinks to include fruit juices common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alcohol advertising</td>
<td>Average price of other foodstuffs such as bread, meat, fish, milk, fruit, and vegetables country level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unintended counter-effects like wine/methanol scandal</td>
<td>Number of episodes of collective alcohol poisoning country level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol industry (Gual &amp; Colom, 1997; Munro, 2004)</td>
<td>Influence on alcohol consumption</td>
<td>(Consider economic operators, interested groups) country level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food intake (Cipriani, 2007; Gual &amp; Colom, 1997)</td>
<td>Trends in food consumption</td>
<td>Consumption (liters per capita) per year of mineral water, soft drinks, including fruit juices country level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumerism (Babor et al., 2003; Gruenewald et al., 2002; Österberg &amp; Karlsson, 2002a; Tusini, 2007)</td>
<td>Income dedicated to purchasing food and drink</td>
<td>Household expenditure for food/total household expenditure for nonfood items (included alcoholic beverages) per year country level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Density of on-licensed pubs, restaurants, and pizzerias</td>
<td>Numbers of on-licensed premises selling alcoholic beverages/100,000 total population common</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued on next page)
TABLE 3. Variables related to the determinants of unplanned alcohol consumption changes (sociocultural, economic, and demographic) (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Operationalization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density of off-licensed pubs, restaurants, and pizzerias</td>
<td>Numbers of off-licensed premises (such as supermarkets) selling alcoholic beverages/100,000 total population</td>
<td>country level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health behavior (Gual &amp; Colom, 1997; Sulkunen, 1989)</td>
<td>Smoking rates</td>
<td>Number of smokers per 100,000 in the total population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sociopolitical &amp; economical major events (Holder et al., 1998; Moskalewicz &amp; Simpura, 2000)</td>
<td>Access to the European Union</td>
<td>Where countries joined the European Union, the year of access is public knowledge. Where countries are still separate from the European Union, the year 2001 is indicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eastern European transitions</td>
<td>For countries where this did not apply, the year 1989 is taken</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Significant political changes</td>
<td>Year of country’s sociopolitical and economical major events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social attitude (Greenfield &amp; Room, 1997; Room, Rehm, Trotter, Paglia, &amp; Üstün, 2001)</td>
<td>Tolerance toward intoxication</td>
<td>High, moderate, low country level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor road traffic density</td>
<td>Motor vehicles</td>
<td>(1) Number of motor vehicles/100,000 total population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) Number of trucks, buses, cars, motorcycles, moped/100,000 total population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secularization (Michalak et al., 2007)</td>
<td>Numbers of people not part of a religious denomination</td>
<td>Proportion of the population who do not belong to any traditional religious denomination/100,000 total population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Numbers of people not part of a religious denomination</td>
<td>Proportion of the population who do not belong to any religious denomination/100,000 total population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Border import of alcoholic beverages (Anderson, 2009; Holder, 2009)</td>
<td>Crossing borders</td>
<td>Cross-border trade</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Common indicators are available for all the countries; country level indicators are only available for 1 or few countries.

[e.g., autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) model].

Statistical Analysis

The statistical analysis is performed in two steps.

Step 1: Country-Specific Analysis. The aim of this analysis is to examine the temporal trends in the dependent variables that include alcohol consumption and alcohol-consumption-related harm and their relationships with the social, cultural, economical, and demographic factors. The preventive alcohol policies are included for each of the 12 participating countries. This analysis enables the identification of the variables that best explain the trends in alcohol consumption and alcohol-consumption-related harm for each country.

Step 2: Analysis at the European level. Cluster analysis is used to identify mutually exclusive groups of countries on the basis of a similarity or difference in the trends of their variables (Gmel, Rehm, & Frick, 2001). This permits the identification of groups of homogenous countries in terms of the impact of the independent variables on alcohol consumption and alcohol-consumption-related harm.

Table 4 shows a hypothetical example of the estimated effects of planned and unplanned indicators on the trends in alcohol consumption per capita liters of pure ethanol only based on seven countries.

Figure 3 shows the results in the form of a dendrogram, as an example of cluster analysis, which is based on the fictitious effects of the unplanned indicators shown in Table 4. The dendrogram adopts a threshold of average distance between countries equal to 0.9, and identifies three clusters of countries: (1) a group including the countries C1, C4, C5, and C6, characterized by a negative association of the mean age and a positive association of smoking rates with alcohol consumption; (2) another group including the countries C2 and C3, characterized by a strong positive association of the mean age and educational level with the dependent variable; and (3) the isolated country C7—a positive low effect of the mean age and employment, and a strong effect of the educational level on alcohol consumption.

In addition to the separate policy effect, we also explore the impact of comprehensiveness of policies in a similar manner.

Limitations

A weakness of this study is the choice of the determinants and indicators for changes, particularly in the unplanned alcohol consumption. These were decided by the
TABLE 4. Hypothetical example of the estimated time series effects of planned (preventive alcohol policies) and unplanned (demographic, sociocultural, and economical factors) indicators on alcohol consumption trends (in liters of pure ethanol per capita) across seven countries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator Description</th>
<th>C1</th>
<th>C2</th>
<th>C3</th>
<th>C4</th>
<th>C5</th>
<th>C6</th>
<th>C7</th>
<th>Pooled effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planned indicators</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase of BAC limits for driving</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$-0.10^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction of a national alcohol program</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$-0.05$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced opening hours for bars</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$-0.02$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unplanned indicators</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$-0.10^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$-0.27^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$-0.01$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migration inside the country</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.16^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking rates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.10$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The superscripts * and ** indicate significance at 5% and 1% levels, respectively.

The study employs a long time frame. Some potentially important variables cannot be included as they may not be accessible or consistently accessible across the five decades. Data might not be homogeneous between countries that have different drinking cultures, different concerns about alcohol-consumption-related problems, different traditions related to alcohol research and prevention policies, and different definitions for the same associated terms.

The study is not the only one based on the assumption that there is a causal relationship between one factor and its outcome, i.e., between alcohol consumption policies and/or the determinants of unplanned change on
the one hand, and changes in alcohol consumption and alcohol-consumption-related harm on the other hand. In fact, within such a complex phenomenon as alcohol drinking, and its consequences, a single relationship is rarely found (Edwards et al., 1994). Instead, there is a constellation of causes that makes both inference and interpretation a complex task (Rothman, Greenland, Poole, & Lash, 2008).

WHAT WE EXPECT FROM THIS STUDY

This study will hopefully make a contribution to science, in terms of its methodology and findings, and to policy, in terms of practical recommendations. Its importance also lies in the fact that Europe is going to face important changes during the next few years that are already having a profound influence on society, for example, globalization, an aging population, and changes in the aspects of work and societal structure.

Although Europeans are getting older, the immigration flux from non-European countries will increase the prevalence of younger groups (European Commission, 2009). Immigrants will probably be more attracted by urban contexts, where conditions of relative anonymity may raise experiences of acculturative stress and tensions between their own and foreign traditions (Berry, 1998). This will further contribute to social inequalities that are connected with cultural stigma and a heavier burden of alcohol-attributable diseases (Room, 2005). At the same time the ideal of consumption, channeled by global market and industry by means of the message that individuals are endowed with free choice and able to decide which goods to purchase among several, may continue to appeal (Sulkunen, Rantala, & Määttä, 2004).

On the other hand, awareness and concern about one’s own health may continue to spread among the population. Contemporary public health expenditure, which in some European countries is as high as 8% of gross domestic product (Human Development Report, 2008), may continue increasing, with prevention being considered less of a priority for expenditure compared to acute treatments. While the increase in elderly citizens, immigrants, and of globalized consumption patterns may increase the rate of hazardous drinking (Allamani et al., 2009; Hasin, Rahav, Meydan, & Neumark, 1998; Klein & Jess, 2002), the raising of health awareness may move in the opposite direction (Tusini, 2007).

From a methodological viewpoint, we assume that this study could provide support to arguments for both researchers and policy-makers. It will enable them to focus on the complexity of alcohol research and to acknowledge the relevance of populations as human social systems that are interacting, adaptive, and creative (Barabasi, 2002; von Foerster, 2002). With this perspective, the health sector should be seen as a part interacting with all the other sectors of society (Holder, 1999) and, whenever possible, the dichotomy or opposition between health and the other sectors in society should be reduced (Warner & White, 2003). The risk of consuming alcohol might be conceptualized and faced as an alternative to other risk taking behaviors and contexts (Büringer, 2004; WHO, 2001).

From a practical perspective, our study findings could suggest that the effectiveness of preventive interventions may be substantially improved if positive contextual factors are taken into account when planning any policy. This would also help to address the increasing limitations on health budgets (Giesbrecht, 2007) and could provide information on the health impact of different governmental initiatives (WHO, 2000). Clearly, a better understanding of the perceptions and opinions of the general population on the health issues related to alcohol (Greenfield & Room, 1997; Nordlund, 2008) is required.

In conclusion, we purport that, when available, the findings of this study will provide recommendations to policymakers and public health experts to incorporate a contextual approach into their alcohol consumption prevention policies. They will also add to the body of knowledge relating to understanding the ongoing changes in alcohol consumption. This should place policy-makers and public health experts to be in a better position to identify the best cost-effective measures to be adopted in order to reduce alcohol-consumption-related harm.
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RESUME

Déterminants contextuels de la consommation d'alcool et de l'évolution des politiques de prévention de l'alcool. Une étude européenne de 12 pays en cours.

Dans les années 50 la consommation d'alcool dans le sud de pays européens (France compris) a diminué, en particulier elle a baisse’ avec peu ou pas de mesures préventives en matière d'alcool, tandis que la consommation d'alcool a augmenté dans les pays nordiques où, historiquement, étaient en place les politiques de contrôle de l'alcool plus restrictives, même si, plus récemment, elles ont été assouplies. Au même temps, l’Europe centrale et orientale ont montré un comportement intermédiaire. Cela nous a proposé que les modifications spécifiques à chaque pays dans la consommation d'alcool entre 1960–2008 sont expliquées par une combinaison d’un certain nombre de facteurs: (a) les politiques de prévention de l'alcool, et (b) les déterminants sociaux, culturels, économiques et démographiques. Ce document décrit la méthodologie d’une étude de recherche visant à comprendre les interactions complexes, qui ont eu lieu dans toute l’Europe au cours des cinq dernières décennies. Il s’agit notamment des changements dans la consommation d’alcool, dans les habitudes de consommation et dans les méfaits liés à l’alcool, et les déterminants réels de tels changements.
RESUMEN
Determinantes contextuales de los cambios en el consumo de alcohol y políticas preventivas en el ámbito del alcohol

El consumo de alcohol en el sur de Europa ha decrecido desde la década de los 50, empezando por Francia, aunque poca o ninguna medida preventiva se hubiera implementado en dichos países. En cambio, simultáneamente el consumo de alcohol experimentó un incremento en los países nórdicos, donde existe una tradición histórica de políticas más restrictivas, aunque recientemente hayan sido atenuadas. Al mismo tiempo, la Europa Central y del Este ha mostrado comportamientos intermedios. Proponemos que los cambios específicos experimentados por los países europeos en el periodo 1960–2008 pueden explicarse por la combinación de un conjunto de factores: (a) políticas preventivas en el ámbito del alcohol, y (b) determinantes sociales, culturales económicos y demográficos. Este artículo describe la metodología de un trabajo de investigación diseñado para entender las complejas interacciones que han ocurrido en Europa durante las últimas cinco décadas, incluyendo los cambios en las cantidades de alcohol consumidas, los patrones de consumo los trastornos relacionados con el alcohol y los determinantes de dichos cambios.
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GLOSSARY

Alcohol consumption: It may be integrated into a society’s daily life, or it may be perceived as a social and health problem.

Alcohol policies: These are planned by governments to control the supply and demand of alcoholic beverages, aiming at minimizing alcohol-consumption-related problems.

Time trend: A period of time, during which changes in consumption occur.

Unplanned determinants of consumption changes: A number of social, cultural, economic, and demographic factors that are not planned by governments and health authorities, but that may affect consumption and drinking patterns.
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